

KERN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ANNUAL CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY PREA REVIEW REPORT

The Kern County Sheriff's Office (KCSO) PREA compliance team and the Central Receiving Facility (CRF) manager have prepared this report to inform the public about the agency's and facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse.

The Central Receiving (CRF) Jail Facility is a custodial facility under the Kern County Sheriff's Office (KCSO) Detentions Bureau. KCSO has implemented policies and procedures from the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) with the goal of reducing or eliminating sexual abuse of inmates incarcerated in its jail facilities.

The CRF facility manager and the PREA facility compliance manager, in conjunction with the KCSO PREA compliance team, conduct yearly examinations of all abuse reports received throughout the reporting period in order to compile specific data for the purpose of making comparisons from year to year.

These comparisons allow the CRF facility report team to discover any commonalities and/or contributing factors related to the abuse reports. Contributing factors in which KCSO staff can identify a solution will be forwarded to the Detentions Bureau administration staff with a recommendation for change in policy, practice or a facility specific issue.

During 2018, the average daily inmate population of CRF was 159. CRF had five reported PREA incidents in 2018. All reported incidents were thoroughly investigated.

The five incidents reported are listed below with a brief synopsis of them:

- Nonconsensual sexual acts Inmates claimed to have been sexually assaulted either with force or by pressure.
 - 1 unsubstantiated report of nonconsensual sexual act. The reporting inmate claimed to have been assaulted on a prior booking but refused to provide the investigator any details to investigate the allegation.
 - 1 unfounded report of nonconsensual sexual act. The reporting inmate alleged being knocked unconscious and then sexually assaulted. This case was investigated including a physical exam for injuries and DNA evidence. No DNA evidence was located during the exam, nor was there any physical evidence to support the allegation.
- Abusive sexual contact Inmates claimed to have been touched by another inmate.
 - 1 substantiated report of abusive sexual contact. Two inmates reported that a third inmate had been touching them while they were trying to sleep.
- Staff sexual misconduct Inmates alleged staff inappropriately assaulted or abuse them.
 - 1 unsubstantiated report of staff sexual misconduct. The reporting inmate claimed they did not like how they were searched by a female deputy. A review of the video showed no misconduct by staff conducting the search and that the sergeant was present.

- Staff sexual harassment Inmates alleged that staff made verbally offensive comments to them.
 - 1 unsubstantiated report of staff sexual harassment. The reporting inmate made an initial statement that an officer said something they did not like, but refused to provide a statement to investigators with or without an advocate present. Review of the video did not support the allegation against KCSO staff at CRF.

One commonality identified during reviews of the 2018 incidents is the mental state of new arrestees. Inmates may be under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs or experiencing mental health issues at the time they are being booked into the jail. Reports of abuse made during or directly after the booking process could be the result of an individual's perception of the booking process due to an impaired physical or mental state. It was discussed during reviews of reported incidents that inmates are asked the PREA risk screening questions, which include past sexual abuse, and then are physically searched by the receiving deputy. A pat down search itself may seem assaultive in nature to a person who is impaired by substances or has mental health issues. In most cases, the reporting individual did not provide any credible information about an abuse, they just blurted out incoherent and rambling statements that staff documented due to the nature of the comments. Due to frequent staff turnover at the Central Receiving Facility, it is also noted that training should always be a priority to minimize allegations of misconduct.

Over 28,700 inmates were booked into CRF in 2018. Inmates are informed at various times throughout their incarceration, beginning at the booking counter, about KCSO's zero-tolerance toward sexual abuse, how to report abuse and ways to avoid sexual abuse.

CRF management and the PREA compliance team believe KCSO's implementation of prevention, detection and response measures combined with the education of inmates about their rights under PREA have been effective. The use of video surveillance has been a valuable tool in both investigating incidents and as a deterrent, furthering KCSO's goals to keep inmates safe and accountability to the public.

There were no recommended corrective actions to request or include in this report.

This report utilizes definitions of "sexual abuse" and "sexual abuse" by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer as provided by 28 C.F.R. §115.6 in the *National Standards to Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape* (under the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003).

NONCONSENSUAL SEXUAL ACTS – Sexual contact of any person without his or her consent, or of a person who is unable to consent or refuse;

- AND Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus including penetration, however slight;
- OR Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- OR Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument.

ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT – Sexual contact of any person without his or her consent, or of a person who is unable to consent or refuse;

AND - Intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of any person;

This excludes incidents in which contact is incidental to a physical altercation.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT - Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate directed toward another.

STAFF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT – Any behavior or act of a sexual nature directed toward an inmate by an employee, volunteer, contractor, official visitor or other agency representative. Sexual relationships of a romantic nature between staff and inmates are included in this definition. Consensual or nonconsensual sexual acts include;

- Intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks that is unrelated to official duties or with the intent to abuse; arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- OR Completed, attempted, threatened, or requested sexual acts;
- Occurrences of indecent exposure, invasion of privacy, or staff voyeurism for reasons unrelated to official duties or for sexual gratification.

STAFF SEXUAL HARASSMENT – Repeated verbal statements, comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate by an employee, volunteer, contractor, official visitor, or other agency representative include;

- ANY Demeaning references to gender; or sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing;
- OR Repeated profane or obscene language or gestures.

This section provides information about reported incidents of sexual abuse, assault or harassment of inmates in the custody of the Kern County Sheriff's jail facilities in accordance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA) standards below.

§ 115.87 Data collection.

- (a) The agency shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions.
- (b) The agency shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually.
- (c) The incident-based data collected shall include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.

§ 115.88 Data review for corrective action.

- (3) Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole.
 - (b) Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.
 - (c) The agency's report shall be approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means.

This report provides information about reported incidents of sexual abuse, assault or harassment of inmates in the custody of the Kern County Sheriff's Office jail facilities.

Facility: Central Receiving Facility (CRF)

Average daily inmates: 159

This period: 1/1/18 to 12/31/18 Prior period: 1/1/17 to 12/31/17

Prior period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts:

This period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate NONCONSENSUAL SEXUAL ACTS: 2

Prior period substantiated: 0 Prior period unsubstantiated:

This period substantiated: 0 This period unsubstantiated: 1

based on a preponderance of the evidence. determine whether or not the event occurred.

Prior period unfounded: 0 Prior period investigation ongoing: 0

This period unfounded: 1 This period investigation ongoing: 0

The investigation determined that the event did NOT occur. Evidence is still being gathered, processed or evaluated, and a

final determination has not yet been made.

Prior period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contact: 2

This period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT: 1

Prior period substantiated: 0 Prior period unsubstantiated: 2

This period substantiated: 1 This period unsubstantiated: 0

Prior period unfounded: 0 Prior period investigation ongoing: 0

This period unfounded: 0 This period investigation ongoing: 0

Prior period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment: 3

This period reported allegations of inmate-on-inmate SEXUAL HARASSMENT: 0

Prior period substantiated: 2 Prior period unsubstantiated: 1

This period substantiated: 0 This period unsubstantiated: 0

Prior period unfounded: 0 Prior period investigation ongoing: 0

This period unfounded: 0 This period investigation ongoing: 0

Prior period reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct : 0

This period reported allegations of STAFF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT: 1

Prior period substantiated: 0

Prior period unsubstantiated: 0

This period substantiated: 0

This period unsubstantiated:

Prior period unfounded:

Prior period investigation ongoing:

0

This period unfounded:

This period investigation ongoing: 0

Prior period reported allegations of staff sexual harassment: 1

This period reported allegations of STAFF SEXUAL HARASSMENT:

Prior period substantiated:

Prior period unsubstantiated:

This period substantiated:

This period unsubstantiated: 1

Prior period unfounded:

Prior period investigation ongoing: 0

This period investigation ongoing: 0 This period unfounded:

Incident Information – Victim Section

		Prior period	This period			Prior period	This period
	Victims' cell:	1	2	Times of specific incident(s)	Morning	2	2
	Perpetrator's cell:	1	0		(6 a.m. to noon)		
	Dorm / multiple cell:	0	0		Afternoon (noon to 6 p.m.)	0	3
	Common area:	0	0				3
Location of	Holding cell:	1	0		Evening (6 p.m. to midnight) Overnight (midnight to 6 a.m.) Time unknown	0	0
abuse in allegation(s)	Shower / toilet area:	0	0				U
(more than one	Instructional area:	0	0			0	0
may apply to each incident)	Recreation area:	0	0				0
	Medical area:	0	0			_	0
	Staff area:	0	0			5	0
	Offsite / in transit:	0	0				
	Other:	4	3				

		Prior period	This period			Prior period	This period
	Number of allegations "yes"	3	3	Abuse victim's gender / sexual orientation by allegation	Male:	4	2
Video					Female:	3	4
monitoring available	Number of allegations "no"	4	2		Lesbian, gay, bisexual	0	0
					Transgender, intersex	0	0
	18 – 24:	2	1	Abuse victim's	White:	0	2
	25 – 29:	3	2		Black:	1	3
Abuse	30 – 34:	0	1		Hispanic:	6	0
victim's age by specific	35 – 39:	0	0	ethnicity / race	American Indian:	0	0
allegation	40 – 44:	2	1	by allegation	Asian:	0	0
	45 – 54:	0	1		Pacific Islander:	0	0
	55 or older:	0	0		Other:	0	1

Perpetrator Section

		Prior period	This period			Prior period	This period
	Number of allegations	_	1	Perpetrator'	Male:	4	0
Physical	"yes"	0		s gender / sexual	Female:	0	1
injuries reported	Number of allegations	7	4	orientation	Lesbian, gay, bisexual	0	0
	"no"		·	allegation	Transgender, intersex	0	0
	18 – 24:	2	0		White:	0	1
	25 – 29:	0	0		Black:	2	0
Perpetrat or's age	30 – 34:	0	0	Perpetrator'	Hispanic:	2	0
by	35 – 39:	1	0	s ethnicity / race by	American Indian:	0	0
specific allegation	40 – 44:	0	0	allegation	Asian:	0	0
	45 – 54:	1	0		Pacific Islander:	0	0
	55 or older:	0	1		Other:	0	0
	Voluntary sexual contact between inmates:	0	0		Persuasion, talked into sexual activity:	1	0
apply to each incident)	Sexual harassment:	2	0		Bribery or blackmail:	0	0
	Indecent exposure, masturbation, or voyeurism:	2	0		Sexual harassment, sexual innuendo, verbal comments:	3	0
	Horseplay:	0	0		Threatened w/weapon:	0	0
	Repeated, unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors:	0	0	Type of force or pressure used by perpetrator on the victim (more than one may apply to each incident)	Surprised victim w/unwanted touching, grabbing, groping, or victim was asleep:	1	1
	Unwanted touching for sexual gratification or abusive sexual contact:	2	1		Gave victim drugs or alcohol:	0	0
	Pressure, coercion w/o force resulting in a nonconsensual sexual act:	0	0		Offered protection from other inmates:	0	0
	Physical force or threat of force resulting in a nonconsensual sexual act:	0	1		Threatened with physical harm:	0	0
	Other:	1	1		Physically harmed or injured:	0	1
					Physically held victim down or restrained:	0	0
					Other:	2	1

Staff Section

			Prior period	This period				Prior period	This period	
		Allegations	1	2			Full / part time paid:	1	1	
Staff		against staff:			S employm	taff ent	Contract / vendor:	0	0	
Otali		Male:	1	1	status		Volunteer / intern:	0	0	
		Female:	0	1			Other:	0	1	
		18 – 24:	1	0			White:	0	0	
		25 – 29:	0	1			Black:	0	0	
		30 – 34:	0	0	Staff ethni	citv	Hispanic:	1	1	
Staff a by allegation		35 – 39:	0	0	/ race	by	American Indian:	0	0	
anogun		40 – 44:	0	0	allega	ion	Asian:	0	0	
		45 – 54:	0	0			Pacific Islander:	0	0	
		55 or older:	0	0			Other:	0	1	
			Prior period	This period				Prior period	This period	
		Physical force resulting in onconsensual sexual act:	0	0			Administrator, assistant, other in administrative position:	0	0	
Nature of allegation or incident involving staff (more than one may apply to each incident)	of p	essure or abuse power resulting nonconsensual sexual act:	1	0			Deputy, Detentions Deputy, Supervisory staff:	1	2	
	inva v	ecent exposure, asion of privacy, oyeurism for ual gratification:	0	1	Position of staff for allegation or incident (more than one may apply to each incident)		Clerical, receptionist, other administrative support staff:	0	0	
		vanted touching for sexual gratification:	0	1			Maintenance, kitchen, drivers, other facility support staff:	0	0	
	sh o	Wrote letters, owed pictures, ffered gifts or ecial privileges to inmate:	0	0					Health care staff; doctors, counselors, dentist, psychiatrists, esychologists, nurses:	0
	betv stat	cual relationship ween inmate and ff that appeared be consensual:	0	0			Education staff; instructors, teachers, librarians:	0	0	
							Other staff; Chaplain, volunteers, etc.	0	0	

Sanctions / Corrective Actions

			Prior period	This period				Prior period	This period		
	other	sferred to housing or facility:	2	1					training or inseling:	0	2
		istratively regated:	0	1			manded or scipline:	0	0		
	isolatio	ciplinary n, or loss of commissary, :	0	1				or temporary pension:	0	0	
Perpetrator sanctions	Reclassified to higher security level: Released prior to investigation conclusion:		0	1	Employee / Contractor sanctions (more than one may apply to each incident)	cance	ged, contract elled or not newed:	0	0		
(more than one may apply to each incident)			1	0		Administrative l referred fo prosecution	erred for	0	0		
	No action, perpetrator ide unknown:	ator identify	3	4		to inv	signed prior restigation iclusion:	0	0		
		d to DA for ecution:	1	0		or so emp	ed, plea deal, entenced, ployment ninated:	0	0		
	Other this year:	In four of the perpetrator was no information	as not ide	ntified,		Other this year:	were unsubsta	ons against staff tantiated but follow- as recommended			

Comments:

The Central Receiving Facility receives new arrestees 24 hours per day, some of whom may be under the influence of alcohol or controlled substances or suffering from medical or mental health conditions which may influence their perception of the booking process. The booking video surveillance system is a valuable tool to assist in investigating these allegations and has been used to identify necessary training used during PREA screenings.

Facility changes needed, or reasoning if none:	None, the Central Receiving Facility continues to see incidents reported from new arrestees who allege that they were assaulted either as a child or prior to them being arrested for a crime. Some of these allegations (those against staff) could not be substantiated by evidence. Recommendations were made for training to ensure receiving staff properly communicate while searching new arrestees.			
Agency changes needed, or reasoning if none:	No changes needed, see comments above.			

Facility manager:	Lieutenant Ollague	Min	Date: 5-24-19
PREA Coordinator:	Lieutenant Gordon	the Hour	Date: 6/1/5
Agency head or designee:	Commander Bishop	\$ #2009az	Date: 6/4/19